

## ImNO Submission Checklist

### **Abstract**

- My title is brief, descriptive, interesting, and avoids jargon.
- I have identified the presenter by underlining their name in the author list if the presenting author is not the first author.
- I have listed the institutional affiliations of all authors.
- I have provided a sufficient background such that an educated but non-expert reader can understand the reason I am performing my study.
- My hypothesis, if stated, is clear and testable. If the study truly has no hypothesis, specific objective(s) are listed in its place.
- I have avoided jargon and uncommon acronyms, or if unavoidable, concisely defined them the first time the terms are used.
- The sections of my abstract flow together, where the Methods address the hypothesis and/or objective(s) identified in the Introduction, the Results are the findings from the Methods I listed, and the Discussion interprets the Results and answers my hypothesis.
- For large studies with multiple outcomes, I have selected only the most important ones that address my primary outcome(s) for inclusion in the abstract.
- I have written or revised my abstract specifically for the ImNO Symposium audience. It is understandable by a scientifically trained but non-expert reader. It has not been copied and pasted from a specialty conference.
- I have edited my abstract to ensure there are no spelling or grammatical errors.
- My abstract adheres to required formatting guidelines.

### **Submission Form**

- I have listed all co-authors, including my supervisors on the CMT submission form
- I have listed my domain conflicts and all my co-authors' domain conflicts, including my supervisor's on the CMT submission form. See [Author's guide to CMT](#) on how to correctly list domain conflicts.